The convoy 5

The Gatherious 35

The borders of defense, error and assault in accordance with considerations of the Unicom creed

When is a person wrong or an aggressor against another right or against others, and he must take full responsibility for that?

First, he should be sane, knowledgeable, conscious, and intending what he will do of his activity. Other than this, the full responsibility is attributed to the source that pushed him towards doing that. If he perceives and remains in his that position, he is responsible for his activity.

And the human count a mistaken, when he exceeds by his personal activity borders of his natural defense about his privacy or the public rights which his privacy, and that his activity negatively affects privacy of other or the public which include him personally or does not include.

In this way, the activity that is contradictory to public opinion, with aim of defending privacy rights or the general right to exist, is not considered a mistake and is not considered a negative attack, because it falls within the framework of a positive attack.

For example, when one activist launches a campaign of criticism, against what a whole community believes in, for the sake of his rights or their rights or the natural life rights of others for the sake of existence, this does not mean that he is wrong or an aggressor.

According to our narration in the preceding paragraph, then that the ideas and products of human mind, including religions, beliefs, ideological systems, and popular and social traditions and practices, are public rights, and they are not personal property or private rights, until they be protect or adopt for defend them through persons, and the exposure to them by their similar ways intellectual, critical, and literary, cannot be considered assault on any kind of rights, for as long as the critic has demonstrated the positive reasons for the intentions of what he does, or the negative aspects in what he might be exposed to, whether it negative on the public or Private rights , because humankind’s experiences from products of mind, from religions and ideas, for the public consumption, and they can be sold, transferred, modified and replace them without limits, according to social and human interests, whether public or personal, and therefore they are not suitable to every era and every place, except according to size of benefits that can be provided to the human being, at the same time and in the same place, they are fields cultivated by human minds, in seasons of the ages, according to the requirements of each era, as long as their usefulness is lost, the right to exist is lost, and they must be criticized, removed, left and Modify it and replace it, with what can satisfy the purpose. We continue

Therefore, products of mind, whether in stage of ideas for deliberation and scrutiny, or whether they turned into practical applications as tools and mechanisms for use, or as religious texts and legal laws that must be adhered to or worshiped, it remains the right of beneficiary societies to enact the laws Stimulate to protect the rights and efforts of producer, as a motivating factor, for his role in enriching the public interest, but it is not the right of any private or public body to defend products of human mind in all its intellectual, religious, mechanical or professional forms, except through indicate of proof of its authenticity, And its positives and the necessity of its existence and continuity, in front of the criticism that is necessary to embody and clarify its negative aspects, and possible alternatives to occupy tasks and serve the life requirements of humans in a better way than that, for example if we believe that snake venom is a good use in medicinal drugs, since ancient eras, with all success and certainty, this does not mean that it is the right of any society, or any person, to criminalize those who said to the people that the snake is a killer, and its percentage of product from the venom is little and that the snakes are harmful and dangerous and should not be brought up among the people, or presented A better alternative would replace that, or some other way Whoever said that the constants and statutes of historical traditions and religions are inconsistent with the imperatives of building modern societies, the requirements of world peace and present and future human life, and facing economic challenges and threats, which require stopping the work of many religious texts, statutes and acts of worships which not only didn't work, but so it waste people's efforts and times, also for their very influential damages. We continue

So how do we defend one and avoid assaulting others?

That everything that is not counted in the framework of personal defense, from the activity of individual and any individual, and exceeds border of defense for any other being or other entity, is calculated as an assault, and so that the freedom of any being or entity, ends at border of freedom of other, this being and This entity and this other, whether it is an entity for a living or inanimate being or legal systems that have existence, or intellectual and metaphysical systems, freedom of others towards it ends and its freedom towards others ends at borders of defense, and thus begins process of aggression and harm from the party that exceeds border of its defense Towards the other side’s defenses, for example; One of authors published a text contradicts or criticizing constitutional or religious laws, the question about who he was defending, and whether consider what he had committed is an assaulting and on whom? Someone went and responded to this writer by insulting, beating or killing, so is he consider a defender or an aggressor and about Who he was defending?, another example, one of children smashed the TV screen in search for the orator speaking inside, one of them came and hit the child, Is he a defender and about who, and is he consider an aggressor, and about whom? And according to that the assaults, are types: we continue. We continue.

Types of assaulting

Firstly, the assaults negatively directly affect the target, but they interferes in their frameworks, through the negative influence horizontally and vertically on the other, the indirect affected, in ways that are complicated and crooked, which many people are ignorant of, the most accurate example for this is question of procreation and the upbringing, for example, someone gave birth to a child and neglected to teach him how to organize his life, and how to produce his livelihood, here he assaulted to a being, he wasn't give him birth to ignore him later, or if he could not provide him with the requirements of how to continue his life, the child grew, and due to his lack of knowledge, he became unproductive, and in some ways, he became, assaults on rights of producers in the society, to provide necessities of continuity of his life, here the responsibility for the assaulting on society belongs, on the one who gave birth to an being and neglected him, the first aggressor became elderly and did not find who breadwinner him, due to he didn't birth who must breadwinner him, or circumstances of lack of knowledge which let those whom he born him in a situation where he was unable to provide him with support, and by that he assaulted himself, and so the assaulting interfere in various ways, on the self, and on the others, and on the human community, but what are their types? Follow us, we continue

(1) Self-assault:

It is what a person may reap, directly or indirectly, intentionally or unintentionally, on himself, and is affected negatively on him personally, or on who will be related to the aggressor, which calculates his negative impact on the personal benefits of aggressor himself, in the present or in the future, so that person will be enemy on himself, like the one who throws a wall with a rock, so the rock collides with the wall and bounces back and scratch the head of its Thrower, or even when one of us eats or drinks, to feed or quench its thirst, or to revitalize his body, or to stimulate his mind, or even for sake of Enjoyment and psychological recreation, no matter what this substance that you eat is of personal benefit, if it results in other negative damages to your body, or financially annoys you, in a way that negatively affects your life system at some time or in some form, it is considered an assault on yourself., and so we are in The Unicom creed, although our belief has nothing to do with what a person eats or drinks, as long as he chooses this with full knowledge of all positive and negative aspects, but according to the concepts of the Unicom creed ; (That a fully conscious person does not assault at all, on himself or on any other being), except for the sake of defending his own existence and continuity in life, starting from this concept, we see that it is one of distinctive characteristics of a conscious human being, he is the person that who always gives attention, about what he is doing, whether it is assaulting himself or others in some way, it is calculated according to level of your human consciousness? . Be with us, we continue

(2) Assaulting the other

First, who is the other? ; The other is everyone who is not me, of any entity, whether it is an entity of a human being, or a non-human being, and the ego assaulting on the other special or the other the general, may occur, through direct targeting, or indirect assault, through self-assault, or

by assaulting the general other that includes it, whereas the ego's assault on the private other, may result in an indirect assault on the self, or on the general other, and that the assaults have forms and types, it may be theoretical, it may be expressive, and it may be applied activity, for example, Someone planted a hemp tree, due to his popular market, to earn money for his livelihood, Did he do something bad? Someone came and bought from his farm product, and he produced materials for clinical anesthesia, because of its importance in medical laboratories, another customer came and bought from his farm product, and he produced narcotic cocaine, his purpose is to get a lot of money, according to its high price, someone came and bought from him, for the purpose of relaxing as a result of his anxiety due to the requirements of life, he turned into an addict, entered into a psychological disorder, he wasted his money and destroyed his family, and went to work looting and plundering here and there through the public in order to treat his financial troubles, from the aggressor here and Who is the victim of all of this series of events? So, our beliefs, religions, thoughts, sayings, daily activities, and our behaviors are that farm and its products. We have to account for where it will go and what it will bring to us or others, whether personals or the common people???

(3) The assault on the general public:

The general here is intended by it ; The human community, whatever its size or wherever it is, or what relates to its life interests and its cosmic environment, or whatever may be considered an element or part of its components, and assaulting of ego on the general public right, may occur directly or indirectly, through its activities and behaviors and his personal disposals, or through his assault on the private other, which is an element or part of general component of human community, and this assault on the public may occur even through the self-assault, by virtue of the ego the component of general existence, because the general right is not something a separate list in its own right, but rather it is sum of the self-ego rights that constitute it, and it can be personalized in its individual frameworks within the general public, so that the aggression on the individual, that is, it is an assault on the general human community, and therefore that everything that can be counted as an assault on the general public, it is somehow a harmfulness to any component calculated within components of this general human community, because relationship of individual in the human community with the general public, like relationship of oxygen to the general of living beings, if an atom of them is poisoned in space, it is expected that anyone expect that he will be that who will inhaled it one day.

Here we have fulfilled an explanation, the concepts related to limits of defense, error and aggression, according to considerations of Unicom creed, which are based on consciousness of human mind, as a source, reference and as an example, by virtue of fact that the human mind is the controlling officer in organize of human, and everything related According to the requirements of his life, and his cosmic environment, so that no one other than human, in the cosmic existence or outside it, can outperform him, if there an outside.

And on the basis of this mental consciousness, will be the characteristic criterion for the being, to describe him by the humanity, or sub-humanity, is in ranks of lowest animal creatures, and between the living being in its human condition as a sublime and conscious being, is that the human not aggressor at all, except as a defender of his existence, and this element not applies only to the human in his full consciousness.

Accordingly, everything that make the human being lose his consciousness, at any moment, starting from ignorance of absolute knowledge and infinite thinking, through all psychotropic substances, from the consuming substances for mental energizing, and the psychological entertainment, and the physical recreation, up to the killing and the fading, all are not prohibited in the Unicom creed, because the creed does not forbid, and not its responsibility, but rather a personal responsibility, but it is in all its forms unacceptable and not welcomed by it, and worshippers to the Unicom approach they avoid them, as far as possible, from their personal starting points, through a self-dialogue between importance and non-importance to them.

So, these used things and consumables have always transmitting the human being at moment from his life moments to level of animal beings by crippling the mind, source of consciousness to distinguish between the animal and the human being, so that he becomes unable to control himself, consciously and to account for his actions, behavior and activities if they are assault or other than that, or what results will be created, even if he is a knowledgeable, and the most accurate example is that the monkey is a symbol of merchandises of intoxicated drinks, as embodiment of condition of drinker unconscious human , and therefore he is in his animal state, not an accountant as a conscious human being responsible for what appears from him, but for each a human has complete freedom in himself, in what he wants to be on (for example, today, on my vacation, I want to be a monkey, away from thinking about people's concerns) so you are free to drink any intoxicant as you wish, and you be what you will be, provided that you do not assault as a result of this any one, whether it is yourself or others or the general right, because this will lead to threatening your life and threatening fate of human community's life. Is over

Until we meet you salute and goodbye

On footsteps of Conda 2020